

ards Committee in May, 1949, for balloting on the question of publication in the JOURNAL for a ninety-day period of trial and comment. In due course, this ballot was completed favoring publication at an early date. During the course of this balloting, however, various members of the 16- and 8-mm Committee raised the question of whether or not manufacturers of splicers still promoted the sale of equipment to make diagonal splices. Upon investigation, it was found that no manufacturer expects to produce equipment of this type in the

future. In the light of this information, it was recommended that the diagonal splice be dropped from the proposal.

Since this was considered to be a major change from the version upon which the Standards Committee originally balloted, the ballot was withdrawn at a meeting of the Standards Committee on February 1, 1950. In subsequent balloting, completed in December, 1950, and January, 1951, the Committee approved publication of these two proposals in their present form for trial and comment.

### **Erratum:**

W. F. Kelley and W. V. Wolfe, "Recent studies on standardizing the Dubray-Howell Perforation for universal application," *Jour. SMPTE* vol. 56, pp. 30-38, Jan. 1951.

Page 32, line 5 *et seq.*, and Fig. 1: *For Cooke read Cook.* This refers to Allen W. Cook who made the Ansco proposal a number of years ago.